

# THRESHOLD VOLTAGE AND DRIFT-DIFFUSION THEORY BASED DRAIN CURRENT MODEL FOR POCKET-DMG n-MOSFETS WITH INNER FRINGING FIELD

<sup>1</sup>Swapnadip De, <sup>2</sup>Angsuman Sarkar and <sup>3</sup>Chandan Kumar Sarkar

<sup>1</sup>Dept. of ECE, Meghnad Saha Institute of Technology, Nazirabad, Kolkata -700107, India,

E-mail: [swapnadipde26@yahoo.co.in](mailto:swapnadipde26@yahoo.co.in)

<sup>2</sup>ECE Dept., Kalyani Govt. Engg. College, Kalyani-741235, India,

<sup>3</sup>ETCE Dept., Jadavpur University, Kolkata-700032, India.

Received 11-01-2012, online 17-01-2012

## Abstract

This paper presents an analytical threshold voltage and drain current model for pocket implanted DMG n-MOSFET. The model is derived by applying Gauss's law to a rectangular box. The model takes into account the inner fringing capacitance at both the source and the drain ends and the sub threshold drain and the substrate bias effect. Using the surface potential model the threshold voltage is estimated. The drift-diffusion theory is used for finding the sub threshold drain current and transconductance. The adequacy of the model is verified by comparing with 2D device simulator DESSIS. A very good agreement of our model with DESSIS is obtained proving the validity of our model in suppressing the short channel effects.

**Keywords:** Sub threshold drain current; threshold voltage; Pseudo 2-D analysis; halo doping; halo DMG MOSFET.


## I. INTRODUCTION

The channel engineering techniques minimize the hot electron injection in short channel MOSFET. A dual-material gate MOSFET can suppress short channel effect effectively. In the DMG MOSFET, the work function of the metal corresponding to gate1 ( $M_1$ ) is greater than that for gate2 ( $M_2$ ) and hence the threshold voltage corresponding to  $M_1$  ( $V_{t1}$ ) is greater than that corresponding to  $M_2$  ( $V_{t2}$ ). Due to different work functions of two gates the surface potential profile is a step function, which ensures a reduction in the short channel effects. The channel engineering and the gate engineering techniques are combined to form novel device structure like the Double-Halo Dual Material gate MOSFETs. An analytical expression of the threshold

voltage and the sub threshold drain current of the device is also developed.

## II. MODEL DESCRIPTION

The pocket implanted DMG n-MOSFET structure shown in Fig. 1 is used to develop and implement the model as in [1].



**Figure (1):** n-MOSFET pocket implanted DMG structure.

By applying Gauss's law to a rectangular box in the channel depletion region we get the following equation which can be analyzed analytically:

$$\epsilon_{si} \frac{d^2 \psi_s}{dx^2} - \frac{C_{ox}}{Y_d} \psi_s = qN_a - \frac{C_{ox}}{Y_d} V'_{GS} \quad (1)$$

where  $V'_{GS} = V_{GS} + V_{SB} - V'_{SB}$ ,  $\psi_s(x)$  is the surface potential with respect to interior of the substrate bulk,  $V_{SB}$  is the source-to-body voltage,  $V_{GS}$  is the gate-to-source voltage,  $t_{ox}$  is the gate oxide thickness,  $C_{ox} = \epsilon_{ox}/t_{ox}$  is the oxide capacitance per unit area,  $V_{FB}$  is the flat-band voltage,  $Y_d$  is the depletion layer depth and  $\epsilon_{si}$  and  $\epsilon_{ox}$  are the dielectric permittivities of Si and SiO<sub>2</sub> respectively.

The above equation can be solved as in [1] by dividing the total depletion region into different parts to get an expression of the sub threshold surface potential. The potential due to inner fringing fields are considered as in [1],[2] and [3] for finding the potentials at the source and the drain ends.

### II.1 Model of threshold voltage

Using the above surface potential model, the threshold voltage  $V_T$  which is the gate voltage  $V_{GS}$  at which the minimum value of the subthreshold surface potential

$\psi_{s,min} = 2 * \phi_F + V_{SB}$  is found out. But  $\psi_{s,min}$  occurs at a particular value of  $x$  defined as  $x_{min}$ . For our purpose the value of  $V_{GS}$  for which the minimum value of surface potential is equal to  $2 * \phi_F + V_{SB}$  is found out for different channel length, substrate doping, etc. Now  $x_{min}$  corresponding to  $\psi_{s,min}$  is approximated at the junction of regions 2 and 3 or regions 3 and 4 as in [1]. Hence, an iterative numerical method is applied to find the value of threshold voltage.

### II.1 Model of drain current

It is seen that the drain current  $I_D$  depends on the drain-to-source voltage  $V_{DS}$  strongly for the short channel devices. Also the conduction layer depth of the channel depends on the threshold voltage. Taking the positive direction of  $x$  as reference as in Fig-1, the drain current density is given by

$$J_n = -q * \mu_n * \phi_t \{ (-n / \phi_t) * (d\psi_s / dx) + (dn / dx) \} \quad (2)$$

Here  $n$ =inversion layer electron density,  $\mu_n$ =electron mobility in inversion layer. The drift-diffusion model gives drain current density for halo-DMG n Mosfets.

$$J_n = -q * \mu_n * \phi_t * N_a * [\exp\{-\psi_s(L) / \phi_t\} - \exp\{-\psi_s(0) / \phi_t\}] / \int_0^L \exp\{-\psi_s(x) / \phi_t\} dx \quad (3)$$

The effective conduction layer depth in depletion or weak inversion is given by

$$\delta = \phi_t * \text{sqrt}[\epsilon_{si} / \{2 * q * N_a (2 * \phi_{F,av} + V_{GT} / f)\}] \quad (4)$$

where  $V_{GT} = V_{GS} - V_T$ . A fitting parameter  $f = 1.25$  is taken for tallying with DESSIS results[5].

Also a correction factor is required for finding the drain current given as follows.

$$\alpha = \exp[0.2 * \{ \{ E_g / (2 * q) \} - \phi_{F,av} \} / \phi_t]^2 - \{ (2 * (V_{GS} + V_{SB})) / (\phi_{F,av} + V_T) \} \quad (5)$$

The corrected drain current is obtained by integrating the current density over the cross section of the conducting channel, given as  $I_{DS} = J_n * W * \delta * \alpha$  where  $W$  is the device channel width.

The transconductance  $g_m$  and  $I_{DS}$  are related by

$$\frac{g_m}{I_{DS}} = \frac{\Phi_t}{m} \quad (6)$$


where  $m > 1$  as in [4].

Since  $I_{DS}$  is more for DHDMG and SHDMG compared to DMG, so the value of  $g_m$  is more in DHDMG than DMG.

### III. RESULTS

The polysilicon gate MOSFET structure shown in Fig.1 is used to verify the surface potential model against the 2-D numerical device simulator DESSIS of ISE TCAD. The gate, source, and drain contacts of the MOSFET are made of n-type polysilicon and the body contact is made of p-type polysilicon.


Fig. 2 shows the surface potential profiles between the source and drain of a DHDMG MOSFET for  $L=100\text{nm}$ .



**Figure (2):** Surface potential profile for 100nm technology Double halo DMG MOSFET. The substrate doping concentration is  $N_a = 6 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and bias voltages  $V_{SB} = V_{GS} = 0 \text{ V}$  with two drain voltages  $V_{DS} = 0$  and  $1 \text{ V}$  are used. Effective channel length  $L=100\text{nm}$


As evident from Fig. 2 a very good agreement of the proposed model

(solid curve) with the DESSIS (circular symbols) is observed.




**Figure (3):** Comparison of subthreshold drain current versus gate bias of SHDMG and DHDMG MOSFETs for  $L = 50\text{nm}$  and  $100\text{nm}$  with  $V_{DS}=1 \text{ V}$  and fixed doping of  $N_a=4 \times 10^{17}$  and  $N_p=1.2 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  against the applied voltages  $V_{GS}=0 \text{ V} = V_{GB} = V_{SB}$ .

Fig. 3 illustrates the increase in subthreshold drain current with increment in Halo implant doping.




**Figure (4):** Comparison of subthreshold drain current versus gate bias for DMG, SHDMG and DHDMG MOSFETs for  $L = 50\text{nm}$  and  $100\text{nm}$  with  $V_{DS}=0.5 \text{ V}$  and fixed doping of  $N_a=4 \times 10^{17}$  and  $N_p=1.2 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  against the applied voltages  $V_{GS}=0 \text{ V} = V_{GB} = V_{SB}$ .

It is observed from Fig. 4 that the drain current is increased in DHDMG compared to SHDMG and DMG. This is because the electron velocity increases at the source and the drain end due to the halo implants. The improvement of current in subthreshold regime makes the device suitable for low-power analog circuits.




**Figure (5):** Drain current v/s gate voltage for  $L=50$  nm,  $V_{SB}=0V$ , and drain voltage  $V_{DS}=1V$  with  $N_p=4*10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ,  $N_a=1*10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , for three different source biases 0.12,0.18 and 0.24 V.

It is seen from Fig.5 that as the source voltage is increased the inversion layer at the channel surface is reduced, and hence for a fixed drain voltage a reduced current level is found as shown in the plots.




**Figure (6):** Threshold voltage v/s drain voltage plots for two channel lengths  $L=50$  nm and 100 nm for the source-to-body voltage of 0V.

The variation of the threshold voltage  $V_T$  against the drain voltage is shown in Fig. 6 for zero source-to-body voltage, with the channel length taken as 50 nm and 100 nm respectively.  $N_a=6*10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  is considered under the applied bias  $V_{BS}=0$  V and  $V_{DS}=0.5$  V to generate these plots.



**Figure (7):** Plot of  $g_m/I_{DS}$  versus gate-to-source voltage for  $L=50$  nm with  $V_{SB}=0V$ , and drain voltage  $V_{DS}=0.2V$  with  $N_p=1.7*10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ,  $N_a=4*10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  from DESSIS[5].

It is seen that  $g_m/I_{DS}$  is more in subthreshold regime than superthreshold as in [4]. So the gain of a circuit in the subthreshold regime is more.



**Figure (8):** Plot of transconductance versus gate-to-source voltage for  $L=40$  nm with  $V_{SB}=0V$ , and two drain voltages  $V_{DS}=0.2$  and  $0.7V$  with

$$N_p = 4 \cdot 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3} \quad N_a = 1.2 \cdot 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}.$$

It is seen from Fig.8 that as the drain bias is increased the drain current increases. The gate control of the channel decreases and the DIBL effect is increased. Since the transconductance is proportional to the drain current so it increases.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

An analytical threshold voltage and drain current model for pocket implanted Dual Material Gate MOSFET is developed by solving the pseudo-2D Poisson's equation. In this model, the varying depth of channel depletion layer is accounted for along with the inner fringing potential in the source and the drain ends. The halo-DMG device shows

better performance with respect to the threshold voltage and the SCE than a DMG. Based on the surface potential model the drain current and transconductance have been predicted by modifying the expression for channel conduction layer depth. Therefore this model is very useful for circuit simulation and can be applied for analyzing the MOSFET amplifiers where the device is operated in the sub threshold region.

#### References

- [1] Swapnadip De, Angsuman Sarkar, C.K.Sarkar, 'Fringing capacitance based surface potential model for pocket DMG n-MOSFETS', Journal of Electron Devices, **12**, 704-712 (2012).
- [2] Swapnadip De, Angsuman Sarkar, C.K.Sarkar, 'Effect of Fringing Field in Modeling of Subthreshold Surface Potential in Dual Material Gate (DMG) MOSFETS', ICECE 2008 (Vol. 1), pp.148–151, 20–22 December, available in IEEE Xplore.
- [3] Angsuman Sarkar, Swapnadip De, Nagarajan, M., C.K.Sarkar and S.Baishya, 'Effect of Fringing Fields on Subthreshold Surface Potential of Channel Engineered Short Channel MOSFETS', Tencon 2008, IEEE Region 10 Conference, pp. 1–6, 19–21 November available in IEEE Xplore.
- [4] Angsuman Sarkar, Swapnadip De and C.K.Sarkar, "VLSI Design and EDA Tools (1st ed.)", Sci.Tech Publications. (ISBN 978 81 8371 452 5).
- [5] Synopsys 2D device simulator DESSIS.